



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

DR
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/961,187	09/24/2001	Naoya Hashimoto	Q65712	7135
7590	10/03/2003		EXAMINER	
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037			MOHANDESI, IRAJ A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2834	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/961,187	HASHIMOTO ET AL.
	Examiner Iraj A Mohandes	Art Unit 2834

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
 If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
 * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) ____ .
 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ____ .
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: ____ .

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. **Claim1-6** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over prior art Fig. 4-9 in view of **Miller US Patent 4,081,901**.

The prior art Fig. 9 shows a coil including a conductor (51) which is coated (see description on page 4, line 4-11 in the specification) wound around a bobbin, and coil terminal (8) electrically connected to said conductor (51) at an end part (51a) thereof which is led out from said coil, the outer coating at said end part of said conductor being removed (line 5, page 4 of specification).

The prior art does not show at least one of said coil terminal and said end part is coated with a protective film, respectively, made of a material having low permeability to elemental sulfur and sulfur compounds.

Miller'901 shows (Fig.4c) and discusses (colum4, line 24-36) the problem of sulfur corrosion and is employ a lead -in solder to coat his material to prevent the corrosion. Thus, **Miller'901** uses the same material (high low ,lead-tin) as noted by the applicant (at page 6, line 13-16) to solve the same problem.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to solve the known problem of sulfur corrosion in the device of the applicants prior art with his own solution of employing high lead-low tin solder.

However the combination of **Miller'901** and prior art fails to teach a protective coating film composed of high temperature material comparing a thermoset resin and phenol resin.

Teshima'660 discloses a protective coating film composed of high temperature material (column line 2) comparing a thermoset resin (column 8 line 46) and phenol resin (column 2 line 35) for the purpose of preventing from corrosion.

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the combination of **Miller'901** and prior art with a protective coating film composed of high temperature material comparing a thermoset resin and phenol resin as taught by **Teshima'660** for the purpose of preventing from corrosion.

Communication

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Iraj A Mohandes whose telephone number is (703)305-3242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nestor Ramirez can be reached on 703-308-1371. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)306-0377.

IM September 25, 2003

Thomas M. Dugherly
6